PREPARED TO GIVE AN ANSWER BH Debate Ballot	Judge	Room	Date
Affirmative Team 1A	and 2A		
Negative Team 1N	and 2N		

Decision: In my opinion this debate was won by (circle one) Affirmative or Negative

Tally of Stock Issues. Award Stock Issues according to the "Harm Threads" (Thread number will vary with each AFF case.) Circle each Stock Issue awarded to the Affirmative, cross out each Stock Issue "refuted" or "taken" by the Negative. The AFF Team must hold all 4 stock issues in one thread to win that thread, otherwise the NEG Team "takes" the thread. The AFF team must win at least one thread to win round; otherwise, the Negative wins round.

Circle which team won each thread

Harm 1 thread	Topicality	Signficance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG
Harm 2 thread	Topicality	Signficance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG
Harm 3 thread	Topicality	Signficance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG
Harm 4 thread	Topicality	Signficance	Inherency	Solvency	AFF or NEG

Additional Comments for decision (may continue on back):

Speaker Points (Please rate each person as an individual speaker):

1A	2A	5 Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Satisfactory 2 Weak 1 Poor	1N	2N
1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5	Communication Skills	1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5	Organization	1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5	Argumentation	1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5	Evidence use and quality	1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5	Cross-Exam effectiveness	1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
		Total Points		
1 st 2 nd 3 rd 4 th	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	Speaker Rank for Round (1st being best)	1 st 2 nd 3 rd 4 th	1 st 2 nd 3 rd 4 th

Ethics Issues:		

ludae Sianature	Ballot Room Check
Judge Signature	Dallot Nooth Check